

MINOR v. HAPPERSETT SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES 88 U.S. 162 March 29, 1875

...The fourteenth amendment to the **Constitution of the United States**, in its first section, thus ordains;

"All persons born or naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are <u>citizens</u> of the United States, and of the State wherein they reside. No State shall make or enforce any law, which shall abridge the <u>privileges or immunities</u> of citizens of the United States. Nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction, the equal protection of the laws."

And the **constitution of the State of Missouri** thus ordains:

"Every male citizen of the United States shall be entitled to vote."

...Virginia Minor, a native born, free, white citizen of the United States, and of the State of Missouri, over the age of twenty-one years, wishing to vote for electors for President and Vice-President of the United States, and for a representative in Congress, and for other officers, at the general election held in November, 1872, applied to one Happersett, the registrar of voters, to register her as a lawful voter, which he refused to do, assigning for cause that she was not a "male citizen of the United States," but a woman. She thereupon sued...

OPINION: Chief Justice Waite...The question is presented in this case, whether, since the adoption

of the fourteenth amendment, a woman, who is a citizen of the United States and of the State of Missouri, is a voter in that State, notwithstanding the provision of the constitution and laws of the State, which confine the right of suffrage to men alone...

It is contended that the provisions of the constitution and laws of the State of Missouri which confine the right of suffrage and registration therefor to men, are in violation of the Constitution of the United States, and therefore void. The argument is, that as a woman, born or naturalized in the United States and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, is a citizen of the United States and of the State in which she resides, she has the right of suffrage as one of the privileges and immunities of her citizenship, which the State cannot by its laws or constitution abridge...

If the right of suffrage is one of the necessary privileges of a citizen of the United States, then the constitution and laws of Missouri confining it to men are in violation of the Constitution of the United States, as amended, and consequently void. The direct question is, therefore, presented whether all citizens are necessarily voters.

...The Constitution has not added the right of suffrage to the privileges and immunities of citizenship as they existed at the time it was adopted. This makes it proper to inquire whether suffrage was coextensive with the citizenship of the States at the time of its adoption. If it was, then it may with force be argued that suffrage was one of the rights which belonged to citizenship, and in the enjoyment of which every citizen must be protected. But if it was not, the contrary may with propriety be assumed.

When the Federal Constitution was adopted, all the States, with the exception of Rhode Island and Connecticut, had constitutions of their own. These two continued to act under their charters from the Crown. Upon an examination of those constitutions we find that in no State were all citizens permitted to vote. Each State determined for itself who should have that power...

New Hampshire..."every <u>male</u> inhabitant...of <u>twenty-one</u> years of age and upwards, <u>excepting</u> paupers and persons excused from paying taxes at their own request;"...

Massachusetts..."every <u>male</u> inhabitant of <u>twenty-one</u> years of age and upwards, having a <u>freehold</u> estate...of the annual income of three pounds, or any estate of the value of sixty pounds;"...

Rhode Island..."such as are admitted free of the company and society" of the colony;...

Connecticut...such persons as had "maturity in years, quiet and peaceable behavior, a civil conversation, and forty shillings freehold or forty pounds personal estate"...

New York..."every <u>male</u> inhabitant of <u>full age</u> who shall have personally resided within one of the counties of the State for <u>six months</u> immediately preceding the day of election...if during the time aforesaid he shall have been a freeholder, possessing a freehold of the value of twenty

pounds within the county, or have rented a tenement therein of the yearly value of forty shillings, and been rated and actually <u>paid</u> taxes to the State;"...

New Jersey..."<u>all</u> inhabitants...of <u>full age</u> who are <u>worth</u> fifty pounds, proclamation-money, clear estate in the same, and have <u>resided</u> in the county in which they claim a vote for twelve months immediately preceding the election;"...

It would appear that women could vote in New Jersey!

Pennsylvania..."every <u>freeman</u> of the age of <u>twenty-one</u> years, having <u>resided</u> in the State two years next before the election, and within that time <u>paid a State or county tax</u> which shall have been assessed at least six months before the election;"...

Delaware and Virginia..."as exercised by law at present;"...

Maryland..."all <u>freemen</u> above <u>twenty-one</u> years of age having a <u>freehold</u> of fifty acres of land...and all freemen having property in the State above the value of thirty pounds current money, and having resided in the county in which they offer to vote <u>one whole</u> <u>year</u> next preceding the election;"...

North Carolina...for senators, "all <u>freemen</u> of the age of <u>twenty-one</u> years who have been inhabitants of any one county within the State <u>twelve months</u> immediately preceding the day of election, and possessed of a <u>freehold</u> within the same county of fifty acres of land for six months next before and at the day of election"...

South Carolina..."every <u>free white man</u> of the age of <u>twenty-one</u> years, being a citizen of the State and having resided therein <u>two</u> <u>years</u> previous to the day of election, and who hath a <u>freehold</u> of fifty acres of land, or a town lot of which he hath been legally seized and possessed at least six months before such election"...

Georgia...such "citizens and inhabitants of the State as shall have attained to the age of <u>twenty-one</u> years, and shall have <u>paid tax</u> for the year next preceding the election, and shall have <u>resided</u> six months within the county."

In this condition of the law in respect to suffrage in the several States it cannot for a moment be doubted that **if it had been intended to make all citizens of the**



United States voters, the framers of the Constitution would not have left it to implication. So important a change in the condition of citizenship as it actually existed, if intended, would have been expressly declared.

But if further proof is necessary to show that no such change was intended, it can easily be found both in and out of the Constitution. By Article 4, section 2, it is provided that "the citizens of each State shall be entitled to all the privileges and immunities of citizens in the several States." If suffrage is necessarily a part of citizenship, then the citizens of each State must be entitled to vote in the several States precisely as their citizens are. This is more than asserting that they may change their residence and become citizens of the State and thus be voters. It goes to the extent of insisting that while retaining their original citizenship they may vote in any State. This, we think, has never been claimed. And again, by the very terms of the amendment we have been considering (the fourteenth), "Representatives shall be apportioned among the several States according to their respective numbers, counting the whole number of persons in each State, excluding Indians not taxed. But when the right to vote at any election for the choice of electors for President and Vice-President of the United States, representatives in Congress, the executive and judicial officers of a State, or the members of the legislature thereof, is denied to any of the male inhabitants of such State, being twenty-one years of age and citizens of the United States, or in any way abridged, except for participation in the rebellion, or other crimes, the basis of representation therein shall be reduced in the proportion which the number of such male citizens shall bear to the whole number of male citizens twenty-one years of age in such State." Why this, if it was not in the power of the legislature to deny the right of suffrage to some male inhabitants? And if suffrage was necessarily one of the absolute rights of citizenship, why confine the operation of the limitation to male inhabitants? Women and children are, as we have seen, "persons." They are counted in the enumeration upon which the apportionment is to be made, but if they were necessarily voters because of their citizenship unless clearly excluded, why inflict the penalty for the exclusion of males alone? Clearly, no such form of words would have been selected to express the idea here indicated if suffrage was the absolute right of all citizens.

And still again, after the adoption of the fourteenth amendment, it was deemed necessary to adopt a fifteenth, as follows: "The right of citizens of the United States to vote shall not be denied or abridged by the United States, or by any State, on account of **race, color, or previous condition of servitude.**" The fourteenth amendment had already provided that no State should make or enforce any law which should abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States. If suffrage was one of these privileges or immunities, why amend the Constitution to prevent its being denied on account of race, &c.? Nothing is more evident than that the greater must include the less, and if all were already protected why go through with the form of amending the Constitution to protect a part?

...As has been seen, all the citizens of the States were not invested with the right of suffrage. In all, save perhaps New Jersey, this right was only bestowed upon men and not upon all of them. Under these circumstances it is certainly now too late to contend that a government is not republican, within the meaning of this guaranty in the Constitution, because women are not made voters...**If suffrage**

was intended to be included within its obligations, language better adapted to express that intent would most certainly have been employed. The right of suffrage, when granted, will be protected. He who has it can only be deprived of it by due process of law, but in order to claim protection he must first show that he has the right... Our province is to decide what the law is, not to declare what it should be...

Being unanimously of the opinion that the Constitution of the United States does not confer the right of suffrage upon any one, and that the constitutions and laws of the several States which commit that important trust to men alone are not necessarily void, we Affirm...